A Solver-Independent API for multi-DOF Applications using Trilinos

M.A. Heroux

Computational Math/Algorithms Department, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, USA E-mail: maherou@sandia.gov

Abstract: Many applications must simultaneously resolve multiple degrees of freedom (DOF) in order to accurately capture system behavior. This is especially true for fully-coupled reacting flows and multiscale applications. At the same time, preconditioning techniques, such as segregated preconditioners for fully-coupled problems, attempt to separate variables for efficient sub-operator resolution via fast single DOF solver capabilities such as multigrid or direct solvers that would be ineffective or too expensive if applied to the full problem.

In this paper we present an application programmer interface (API) that illustrates solver-neutral programming techniques via abstract interfaces, along with Trilinos-specific adapters for these interfaces. Furthermore, we show how to use the Trilinos Epetra package to build highly-parallel, sophisticated multi-DOF preconditioners, exploiting many of the advanced features that Epetra provides. For concrete examples, we focus on the application Tramonto, a molecular density functional theories code used for modeling inhomogeneous fluids. However, the basic principles are easily translated to other important problem domains.

Keywords: parallel solvers; object-oriented programming; inhomogeneous fluids; Schur complement; iterative methods.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Heroux, M.A. (2006) 'A Solver-Independent API for multi-DOF Applications using Trilinos', Int. J. Computational Science and Engineering, Vol. 1, Nos. 1/2/3, pp.64–74.

Biographical notes: Michael A. Heroux, PhD., is a Distinguished Member of the Technical Staff at Sandia National Laboratories. Prior to joining Sandia, he worked for Cray Research and Silicon Graphics, specializing parallel numerical linear algebra, large-scale parallel applications and high-performance parallel computer architecture. Presently his work involves leading the Trilinos solver project at Sandia, working on solvers for scientific and engineering applications and on future parallel computer architectures and languages.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many scientific and engineering applications have the solution of large linear systems of equations Ax = b as a basic kernel, where A is a known often sparse matrix, b is a known vector and x is unknown. Many libraries are available to solve these types of problems. Some of the more popular are PETSc Balay et al. (1998b,a, 1997), a large collection of iterative and direct solvers, SuperLU Li and Demmel (2003), a general-purpose sparse direct solver library, and of course LAPACK Anderson et al. (1995), a

broad set of dense solvers. Many other solver libraries are available. A comprehensive survey can be found in Dongarra and Eijkhout (2004).

In this article we focus on two aspects of integrating solver libraries into an application. First is the development of an abstract application-specific interface to solvers, which we call the *solver API*. This API is not dependent on any specific solver, but instead describes the application's view of how information will be exchanged with the solver, and what functionality is required from the solver. The second focus of this article is a description of how to

Copyright © 200x Inderscience Enterprises Ltd.

use Trilinos, Heroux (2004c), a collection of solvers with particular capabilities for multi-DOF problems, to provide sophisticated segregated preconditioned iterative methods. In particular, we show how advanced features of the Trilinos package Epetra, Heroux (2004b)—which supports parallel construction and use of distributed matrices, graphs and vectors—can make implementation of complex multi-operator preconditioned solvers straight-forward and scalable.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses design issues for an application-specific, solver-generic API, and illustrates such an interface by example using an interface developed for the inhomogeneous fluid modeling code Tramonto, Frink and Salinger (2000a,b). Section 3 presents some of the important classes in the Trilinos package Epetra, which supports the construction and use of parallel distributed memory linear algebra. Section 4 illustrates how specific solvers can be integrated into the solver API. Finally in Section 5 we summarize our discussion.

2 An Application-Specific, Solver-Generic Interface

Many scientific and engineering applications require the solution of an implicit system of linear equations. These applications often utilize third-party solver libraries, especially in parallel environments, since many good solvers exist that, even though considered "general-purpose," are very effective for specific types of problems. At the same time, even a single specific application often needs access to a suite of solvers to effectively address the full range of its generated problems. These facts make an application-specific, solver-generic interface attractive.

In this paper we discuss a solver application programmer interface (solver API) that addresses the common needs of a typical scientific or engineering application. The general goals of the solver API are as follows:

- 1. The solver API will be a documented abstract interface called a *Linear Problem Manager* through which all linear problem data is exchanged and all solvers, present and future, are accessed, effectively transferring control of constructing and solving the linear problem from the application to the Linear Problem Manager.
- API function names should use application terminology, and arguments to the API functions must be native application variables, or described in terms of these variables.
- 3. The API must support data reuse as appropriate for the application. In particular, structural information that does not change between two solves should be retained.
- 4. Runtime overhead due to abstraction must be negligible, or at least acceptably small.

5. A default general solution capability should be part of, or delivered with the solver API.

We discuss these goals in detail below.

2.1 Solver API Requirements

Above we listed important attributes of a solver API. Here we discuss these attributes in detail.

Documented Abstract Interface In our experience, many if not the majority of application-solver interfaces are buried within the application and are typically just lines of code in a larger function and difficult to identify and isolate conceptually. One important advantage of a solver API is the ability to clearly document how a solver is used by the application. This improves code readability and maintainability, and enables easy insertion of new solver technology in the future with little or no modification to the application.

Another advantage of a documented solver API is the opportunity for solver developers to independently provide new solver capabilities that are readily used within the application. This loose coupling of the application and solver increases flexibility for all developers.

Function and Argument Naming Conventions Another important opportunity in providing an application-specific solver API is the ability write function and argument names in terms that the application developer understands, without learning the language of solver developers. Not only does this convention improve the usability and maintainability of the API, working solver adapters (solvers that are accessible through the API) illustrate how application data is translated into data needed by the solver, making easier the integration of new solvers in the future. Furthermore, the exercise of developing the function and argument names for the solver API highlights the important application features that can be exploited by the solver.

Application Data Reuse Many applications solve a family of related systems of equations. For example, a nonlinear finite-element application typically generates a sequence of sparse linear systems whose matrices have a common sparsity pattern. In this case, structure-only data and computations, such as communication patterns for sparse matrix-vector multiplication in an iterative solver can be computed once and reused by subsequent solves. In other situations, some matrix coefficient values may not change from one solve to another. Not all solvers are prepared to take advantage of such reuse, however the solver API should expose these opportunities since it represents a significant opportunity for reducing computational costs.

Granularity of Abstraction One danger of fine-grain abstraction is the runtime overhead introduced by virtual function calls and, even more importantly, the potential

loss of compiler optimizations. This is not an issue for most functions in the solver API since they are either called infrequently or perform a large task when called. Initialization and solve functions are examples, respectively. However, functions that support the insertion of matrix coefficients must be carefully designed in order to avoid catastrophic runtime overheads. If the solver API contains a function to insert or sum into a single matrix coefficient, then great care must be taken to design how matrix values are collected and assimilated. There is a variety of techniques to address this.

Default General Solver The primary purpose of the solver API is to support multiple special-purpose solver capabilities. At the same time, there may be problems that do not need or have a special-purpose solver. For example, small problems or those for which no sophisticated approach exists fall into this category. Furthermore, even when using a special-purpose solver is desired, problems of convergence or correctness of formulation may dictate that an alternative solver be available to the application. All of these issues illustrate the need for a default general solver. This solver is available via the solver API and constructs the linear system as a global problem with the matrix A stored as a single matrix object. In addition, the default general solver provides the ability to use direct methods for smaller problems, and can make debugging easier.

2.2 A Sample Solver API

To illustrate the ideas mentioned above, we introduce a specific solver API, written in C++, developed for Tramonto. Tramonto (see Frink (2006); Heroux et al. (2006)) is a molecular density functional theories application used to solve a variety of inhomogeneous fluid problems. It is a good example because it exhibits all of the attributes of interest for this article. It is also working software, providing Trilinos and other solvers to Tramonto. Tramonto solves its problems over a one, two or three dimensional mesh with tens to hundreds of DOFs per mesh node. All DOFs are solved fully-coupled within a nonlinear iteration loop. However, the characteristics of each DOF can vary greatly depending on a variety of factors.

The fundamental solver API, referred to as the Basic Linear Problem Manager, with a class name of BasicLinProbMgr, provides both the basic solver API and concrete solution capabilities that are general purpose. The BasicLinProbMgr class functions are fully operational but are declared virtual so that they can be reimplemented by a derived class. discuss two derived classes: the Hard Sphere Linear Problem Manager and Polymer Linear Problem Manager, with class names HardSphereLinProbMgr and PolyLinProbMgr, respectively. Each of these classes inherits from BasicLinProbMgr, providing reimplementations of some functions, but reusing many of the more general-purpose functions. HardSphereLinProbMgr and PolyLinProbMgr are special solvers that take advantage of certain structure and numerical properties in order to produce a much more robust and efficient solver (see Heroux et al. (2006)).

The Basic Linear Problem Manager has seven types of functions:

 Construction/Destruction (Table 1): Simple functions to create and delete an instance of BasicLinProbMgr. Functions are:

BasicLinProbMgr(MPI_Comm comm)

This MPI communicator will be used by the solver manager and libraries.

virtual BasicLinProbMgr()
Simple destructor.

Table 1: Constructor/Destructor

2. Block Structure setup (Table 2): Information about number of DOFs per node, which nodes are owned by the calling processor, and additionally which are "ghost" nodes. The specific functions are:

setNumDofPerNode(int numDofPerNode)

Number of degrees of freedom per node.

setOwnedNodeList(int numOwnedNodes, int *
ownedNodeList)

List of Node IDs owned by the calling processor.

setBoxNodeList(int numBoxNodes, int *
boxNodeList)

Tramonto terminology for the nodes needed for stencil operations, etc., includes owned nodes and "qhost" nodes.

virtual finalizeBlockStructure()

Signals to BasicLinProbMgr that submission of structural information is done and that any required preprocessing can be completed. This function is virtual because derived classes such as HardSphereLinProbMgr will want to perform the structure setup differently.

Table 2: Block Structure Setup

- 3. Insertion functions (Table 3): Matrix and vector values that the application computes and submits for inserting or summing into the global linear system. All of these functions are virtual because derived classes such as HardSphereLinProbMgr will want to perform the value setup differently. Also, note that there are several virtual insertMatrixValue() functions, each supporting a particular convenient way for Tramonto to insert matrix coefficients.
- 4. Linear solver functions (Table 4): There are three functions needed to solve the linear problem, shown in Table 4.
- 5. Nonlinear solver support functions (Table 5): Nonlinearities are very difficult to solve for some classes

virtual initializeProblemValues()

Function that must be called each time prior to starting matrix, lhs and rhs value insertion (usually once per nonlinear iteration). This function indicates that non-structural data should be reset.

virtual insertRhsValue(int
ownedPhysicsID, int ownedNode, double
value)

Insert rhs value based on ownedNode and owned-PhysicsID.

virtual insertMatrixValue (int ownedPhysicsID, int ownedNode, int boxPhysicsID, int boxNode, double value) Insert single matrix coefficient into system.

virtual insertMatrixValues (int
ownedPhysicsID, int ownedNode, int
boxPhysicsID, int *boxNodeList, double
*values, int numEntries)

Insert matrix coefficients for a given row, where columns are all from the same physics type at different nodes.

virtual insertMatrixValues (int
ownedPhysicsID, int ownedNode, int
*boxPhysicsIDList, int boxNode, double
*values, int numEntries)

Insert matrix coefficients for a given row, where columns are from different physics types at the same node.

 ${\tt virtual\ finalize Problem Values()}$

Signals to BasicLinProbMgr that submission of value information is done and that any required preprocessing can be completed.

Table 3: Insertion Functions

of Tramonto problems. To address this, continuation methods are used from the Trilinos LOCA package (see Salinger et al. (2001)). In addition to the linear solver functions, the following functions are needed by LOCA to abstractly support continuation. Note that Tramonto stores vector data types as an array of pointers, e.g., double **b, where b[i] is the array of right-hand-side values associated with the i'th DOF.

- 6. Extraction functions (Table 6): Since the control of linear problem construction is taken over by the problem manager, the application needs functions to access coefficient information, primarily for diagnostics and the ability to modify existing values.
- 7. Support functions (Table 7): These functions help for debugging and for external analysis. The first function writes the matrix out to an ASCII text file in the Matrix-Market format, a file with three columns where each line of the file contains the row, column and value for a matrix coefficient, R. Pozo (2006). The right-hand-side and left-hand-side are written out as an ASCII file of floating point numbers, compatible

virtual setupSolver(int *solverOptions,
double *solverParams)

Pass options and parameters to the solver setup. This function does any necessary preprocessing to make the solver more efficient.

virtual solve()

Actual solver invocation. Requires no parameters.

virtual getSolverStatus(double
*solverStatus)

After the solve() function has completed, this function allows the application to get more detailed information about the success of the solution process.

Table 4: Linear Solver Functions

virtual setRhs(double **b)

Set all right hand side vectors at once.

virtual setLhs(double **x)

Set all left hand side vectors at once.

virtual applyMatrix(const double **x,
double **b)

Apply global linear operator, b = Ax.

virtual importR2C(const double **xOwned,
double **xBox)

Fill the arrays xBox[i] with xOwned[i] for all
physics types i, i.e., fill in ghost values on each
processor.

Table 5: Nonlinear Solver Support Functions

virtual double getMatrixValue (int ownedPhysicsID, int ownedNode, int boxPhysicsID, int boxNode)

Get a matrix entry.

virtual getRhs(double **b)

Get all right hand side vectors at once.

virtual getLhs(double **x)

Get all left hand side vectors at once.

Table 6: Extraction Functions

with MATLAB, The Mathworks (2006).

2.3 Special-purpose Solver API: Hard Spheres

The solver API discussed in Section 2.2 is specific to Tramonto, but general purpose from the solver perspective. In our work with Tramonto, we have developed very specific algorithms for certain classes of problems. One such class of problems is hard spheres. Hard sphere models are used to predict the density of atomic fluids near surfaces. Accurate density profiles require a nonlocal density model such that the density at each node near a surface is a function of nearby densities. Nonlocal density variables are auxiliary to the problem, but are retained for easier construction of the coefficient matrix of the global problem.

virtual writeMatrix(const char *filename,
const char *matrixName, const char
*matrixDescription
Write matrix to specified filename using Matrix

Write matrix to specified filename using Matrix Market (i,j,value) format.

wirtual writeRhs(const char *filename)
Write right hand side to specified filename in
Matlab-compatible format.

virtual writeLhs(const char *filename)
Write left hand side to specified filename in
Matlab-compatible format.

Table 7: Support Functions

For a given problem with N grid points, a sample one dimensional hard sphere problem will have 11N unknowns, i.e., 11 DOFs per node. However, 10 of these DOFs are associated with nonlocal densities while only one is associated with the primitive density at each node. Furthermore, the relationship between the nonlocal densities themselves is very simple, if properly ordered.

The block structure of a hard sphere matrix after proper reordering is:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$A_{11} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} I & 0 \\ X & I \end{array}\right). \tag{2}$$

In our example, A_{11} is of dimension 10N and A_{22} of dimension N. Immediately we see that

$$A_{11}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -X & I \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

Thus, the Schur complement S of A with respect to A_{22} is $S = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ and is easily computed since A_{11}^{-1} is readily constructed. Therefore, by proper ordering, we can efficiently and directly eliminate 10N variables, leaving only the Schur complement system to be solved by some other implicit solver.

Without going into further details of the algorithms used to solve hard sphere problems, which can be learned from Heroux et al. (2006), we can immediately see that any solver wishing to take advantage of the special behavior of nonlocal densities must first learn, from the application, which DOFs are associated with the nonlocal densities. This information must be given prior to submission of matrix entries and is part of the Type 2 functions in Section 2.2.

One of the key design features of object-oriented programming is inheritance, the ability of one class to inherit the functionality and data members from another. We use inheritance in the solver APIs as follows: BasicLinProbMgr provides an implementation of all functions described in Section 2.2. HardSphereLinProbMgr inherits from BasicLinProbMgr and therefore automatically inherits all of the functions and data of BasicLinProbMgr.

In addition, HardSphereLinProbMgr defines several new functions that allow the application to provide the special information needed to identify nonlocal density DOFs. Furthermore, HardSphereLinProbMgr redefines several functions that were previously defined by BasicLinProbMgr in order to take advantage of the special structure found in hard sphere problems.

Specifically, HardSphereLinProbMgr provides the three Type 2 functions found in Table 8. In

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} setIndNonLocalDensityIDs(int numIndNonLocalDensity, int *physicsIDs) \\ Define independent non-local density (upper block of $A_{11}$). \\ \hline setDepNonLocalDensityIDs(int numDepNonLocalDensity, int *physicsIDs) \\ Define dependent non-local density (lower block of $A_{11}$). \\ \hline setPrimitiveDensityIDs(int numPrimitiveDensity, int *physicsIDs) \\ Define primitive density ($A_{22}$ block). \\ \hline \end{tabular}
```

Table 8: HardSphereLinProbMgr-specific Functions

addition, the HardSphereLinProbMgr class redefines the functions: finalizeBlockStructure(), initializeProblemValues(), insertMatrixValue(), finalizeProblemValues(), setupSolver(), solve(), writeMatrix() and applyMatrix(). These functions have the same argument list as in BasicLinProbMgr but now function differently to take advantage of the special structure found in hard sphere problems.

It is worth noting that only a few lines of application code differ between using the BasicLinProbMgr and HardSphereLinProbMgr. Specifically these differences occur when the HardSphereLinProbMgr constructor is called and when the three special functions are called to define the nonlocal and primitive densities. All of these functions are called once in the very beginning of the application run. After these setup steps, the application will used a BasicLinProbMgr and HardSphereLinProbMgr identically through the same function calls.

2.4 Solver APIs for Other Applications

Although the solver API discussed in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 is very specifically written for Tramonto, it is hopefully clear what would differ when developing a solver API for another application. The basic seven types of functions would be very similar, although not every application needs continuation methods or the corresponding Type 5 functions. The Type 2 and Type 3 functions will likely be quite different across applications. In the case of a finite element application, these functions would probably use element stiffness matrices and related data types. Type 4 functions, related to configuring and calling the solver will likely be quite similar. Type 6 and 7 functions, extraction and write functions, will also likely be similar across applications. Of

course, the terminology will change to match that of the specific application.

3 Epetra Concepts and AztecOO

Prior to discussing specific solvers that implement the solver API of Section 2, we must first discuss some features of the Trilinos package Epetra (Heroux (2004b)). Epetra is a collection of linear algebra classes that support the construction and use of vectors, multivectors, graphs, matrices and linear operators. Epetra is intended for parallel, distributed memory architectures and supports the construction of distributed memory objects in parallel with minimal inconvenience for the user. Furthermore, Epetra has a very flexible data distribution capability that supports placement of vector, graph and matrix entries anywhere on the parallel machine. This capability is especially useful in the context of multi-physics applications.

In this section we proceed with a bottom-up approach, introducing in order Epetra_Comm, Epetra_Map, Epetra_Vector, Epetra_MultiVector, Epetra_CrsGraph, Epetra_CrsMatrix, Epetra_RowMatrix and finally Epetra_Operator. We also mention Aztec00, since its use illustrates the role of the implicit linear solver.

3.1 Epetra_Comm

Epetra does not directly depend on the MPI parallel programming model a priori. Instead it uses an abstract class called Epetra_Comm to encapsulate parallel machine details. Epetra_SerialComm and Epetra_MpiComm inherit from the base Epetra_Comm class and provide serial and MPI implementations of the Epetra_Comm interface, respectively. An Epetra_Comm instance is needed to create most other Epetra objects and the functions found in Epetra_Comm are very similar to MPI functions. Epetra is strongly a single-program-multiple-data (SPMD) parallel programming model. Epetra_Comm reflects this fact.

3.2 Epetra_Map

The Epetra_Map class encodes information about the layout of linear algebra objects on the parallel machine. The primary contents of an Epetra_Map on each processor is a list of global IDs (signed integers called GIDs) that are in some way managed by the processor. The standard Epetra_Map of 100 GIDs from 0 to 99 on three processors would put GIDs 0 through 33 on PE 0, 34 through 66 on PE 1, and 67 through 99 on PE 3. This Epetra_Map would be constructed with the following C++ statement, run as part of a three-processor MPI job:

```
Epetra_MpiComm comm( MPI_COMM_WORLD );
Epetra_Map map(100, 0, comm);
```

Beyond this simple use case, Epetra_Maps can be much more complex. In particular, the list of GIDs assigned to each processor does not need to be contiguous or start at

zero, nor do GIDs need to be uniquely owned. Both of these features are important for multi-physics problems. For future reference, we refer to an Epetra_Map whose GIDs appear only once in the map, i.e., are not repeated anywhere on any processor, as 1-to-1 maps.

3.3 Epetra_Vector

The Epetra_Vector class supports the construction and use of distributed dense vectors. An Epetra_Vector is constructed by using an existing Epetra_Map argument:

```
Epetra_MpiComm comm( MPI_COMM_WORLD );
Epetra_Map map(100, 0, comm);
Epetra_Vector x(map);
```

The Epetra_Vector constructed above will have the same size on each processor as the Epetra_Map object used to construct it. Furthermore, the GIDs in the Epetra_Map are associated with the corresponding entries of the Epetra_Vector.

3.4 Epetra_MultiVector

The Epetra_MultiVector class is very similar to the Epetra_Vector and supports the construction and use of a collection of distributed dense vectors all with the same length. An Epetra_MultiVector is constructed by using an existing Epetra_Map argument and an integer declaring how many vectors are part of the multivector:

```
Epetra_MpiComm comm( MPI_COMM_WORLD );
Epetra_Map map(100, 0, comm);
Epetra_Vector x(map, 5);
```

The Epetra_MultiVector constructed above will have the same size on each processor as the Epetra_Map object used to construct it and contain 5 Epetra_Vectors. Furthermore, the GIDs in the Epetra_Map are associated with the corresponding rows of the Epetra_MultiVector.

3.5 Epetra_CrsGraph

The Epetra_CrsGraph class support construction of the nonzero pattern or "skeleton" of one or more sparse matrices. The "Crs" signifies a compressed row storage bias such that entries in the graph are stored contiguously by row. Of course, the transpose is implicitly column oriented, so it is possible to support column oriented operations with the same class. The importance of the Epetra_CrsGraph class is that it supports the one-time construction of structural information that can used across multiple Epetra matrices or across multiple uses of the same Epetra matrix where the values change from use to use. Both situations are common and important.

A Epetra_CrsGraph object has four Epetra_Map objects associated with it:

rowMap On each processor, the GIDs of the rows that will be managed at least in part by the processor. A rowMap is typically 1-to-1, meaning that GIDs appear only once in the map.

colMap On each processor, the GIDs of the columns that will be managed at least in part by the processor. A colMap is typically *not* 1-to-1 when constructed on more than one processor.

domainMap On each processor, the distribution of Epetra_Vector and Epetra_MultiVector objects that will be used as domain vectors for matrices built using this Epetra_CrsGraph object. Note that this Epetra_Map must be 1-to-1. It is also often the same as the rowMap, but not always.

rangeMap On each processor, the distribution of Epetra_Vector and Epetra_MultiVector objects that will be used as range vectors for matrices built using this Epetra_CrsGraph object. Note that this Epetra_Map must be 1-to-1. It is also often the same as the rowMap and domainMap but not always.

3.6 Epetra_CrsMatrix

Related to the Epetra_CrsGraph is the Epetra_CrsMatrix. This class supports the construction of sparse matrices with a row-oriented bias such that matrix entries are stored contiguously by row. Epetra_CrsMatrix objects can be constructed by passing in an existing Epetra_CrsGraph object, and then filled against the pre-determined graph structured. Or the Epetra_CrsGraph can be implicitly constructed "on-the-fly" as part of the matrix value insertion process. An Epetra_CrsMatrix object has the same four maps as an Epetra_CrsGraph, with the same meaning. Continuing to elaborate on the concepts of the four maps, let us consider the following 8-by-8 matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 9 & -4 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline -2 & -3 & 8 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline -2 & -3 & -4 & 9 & -4 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & -2 & -3 & 8 & -3 & -2 & -1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & -2 & -3 & -4 & 9 & -4 & -3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -3 & 8 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -3 & -4 & 9 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Standard Matrix and Vector Distribution A standard distribution of this matrix on two processors (2 PEs) would give all entries for the first four rows to PE 0 and all entries for the last four rows to PE 1. In the standard case, we would also partition any vectors used with this matrix, e.g., for computing y = Ax, using rowMap as the rangeMap (the map for y) and as the domainMap (the map for x). Thus, rowMap, colMap, domainMap and rangeMap would be:

	PE 0	PE 1
rowMap	$\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	$\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$
colMap	$\{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}$	${4,5,6,7,2,3}$
domainMap	$\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	$\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$
rangeMap	$\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	$\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$

A pseudo-code fragment to construct this matrix with the standard distribution is as follows:

```
Epetra_MpiComm comm( MPI_COMM_WORLD );
if (comm.MyPID()==0)
    rowMapGids[] = {0, 1, 2, 3};
else
    rowMapGids[] = {4, 5, 6, 7};

Epetra_Map map(-1, rowMapGids, 0, comm);

Epetra_CrsMatrix A(Copy, map, 0);
for (int i=0; i<map.NumMyElements(); i++)
    // Insert values/indices for a row
    // (details omitted)
    A.InsertGlobalValues(rowMapGids[i], ...)
// Signal completion of Insert process.
A.FillComplete(); // Matrix now ready to use.</pre>
```

Note that in the above code fragment, when A.FillComplete() is executed, colMap is implicitly constructed using the set of column IDs submitted, and domainMap and rangeMap are implicitly set equal to rowMap.

Now suppose that we happen to want a different layout for the domain and range vectors and define them to be:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} & \text{PE 0} & \text{PE 1} \\ \hline \text{domainMap} & \{0, 2, 4, 6\} & \{1, 3, 5, 7\} \\ \hline \text{rangeMap} & \{1, 3, 5, 7\} & \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

In this case, we replace the call to A.FillComplete() with A.FillComplete(domainMap, rangeMap). As long as the domainMap contains a single instance of each GID in the colMap and rangeMap contain a single instance of each GID in the rowMap, the call to A.FillComplete(domainMap, rangeMap) will construct a communication pattern to perform parallel matrix computations involving A.

Block Matrix and Vector Distribution The matrix A in Equation 4 was designed to mimic a 4-node, 2-DOF problem, as indicated by the partitioning. The ordering of A in Equation 4 is considered node-first, i.e., all equations associated with a node are listed first. An alternative ordering, more amenable to segregated preconditioning is to order equations DOF-first, followed by partitioning the DOFs into separate matrices. In this case the matrix is effectively permuted to:

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 8 & -2 & 0 & -3 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 8 & -2 & 0 & -3 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 8 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -3 \\ \hline -4 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 9 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & -4 & 0 & -3 & 9 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 & -4 & 0 & -3 & 9 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -4 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

Keeping the global IDs the same as in Equation 4, a pseudo-code fragment to construct this matrix collected in four submatrices is as follows:

```
Epetra_MpiComm comm( MPI_COMM_WORLD );
if (comm.MyPID()==0) {
   rowMapGids1[] = {0, 2};
```

```
rowMapGids2[] = {1, 3}; }
else {
    rowMapGids1[] = {4, 6};
    rowMapGids2[] = {5, 7}; }
Epetra_Map map1(-1, rowMapGids1, 0, comm);
Epetra_Map map2(-1, rowMapGids2, 0, comm);
Epetra_CrsMatrix A11(Copy, map1, 0);
Epetra_CrsMatrix A12(Copy, map1, 0);
Epetra_CrsMatrix A21(Copy, map2, 0);
Epetra_CrsMatrix A22(Copy, map2, 0);
for (int i=0; i<map.NumMyElements(); i++) {
    // Insert values/indices for a row
    // Put into A11, A12, A21 or A22
    // as appropriate (details omitted)
    A11.InsertGlobalValues(rowMapGids[i], ...) }
// Signal completion of Insert process.
// Matrices now ready to use.
A11.FillComplete(); // Same as A11.FillComplete(map1,map1)
A12.FillComplete(map2, map1);
A21.FillComplete(map1, map2);
A22.FillComplete(); // Same as A22.FillComplete(map2,map2)
```

There are several things worth noting in this example. First, the order in which global matrix entries are processed remains the same as in the previous example. This is important from an application perspective since the application needs to be free from details such as how matrix entries are being stored. Second, the importance of the generality of the Epetra_Map class, specifically the ability to use arbitrary integer values to define GIDs and ability to specify the domain and range map with a different GID set than the row or column map, becomes apparent with this example. Finally, the Epetra_CrsMatrix object A11, A12, A21 and A22 are all distributed across the parallel machine such that, if the global Epetra_CrsMatrix object A were well-distributed, so are these submatrices.

The ability to create multiple matrices with a common GID space is very important for ease-of-use in multiphysics applications. In the case of Tramonto and the PolyLinProbMgr class, the preconditioner that is most effective requires the construction of dozens to hundreds of distributed Epetra_CrsMatrix objects. That these Epetra_CrsMatrix objects share a GID space is very important.

There are many Epetra classes that we do not discuss here. In particular there are several other sparse matrix classes that may be more appropriate for some applications than Epetra_CrsMatrix. In particular, the Epetra_VbrMatrix, Epetra_FECrsMatrix and Epetra_FEVbrMatrix classes are appropriate in many situations. For more details about these classes, and Epetra performance issues in general, please consult the Epetra Performance Optimization Guide, Heroux (2005).

The remaining two Epetra classes we will discuss here are Epetra_Operator and Epetra_RowMatrix. Both of these classes are *pure virtual classes*, meaning they have no functional code.

3.7 Epetra_RowMatrix

Epetra_RowMatrix is an interface to all Epetra sparse matrix classes including Epetra_CrsMatrix, Epetra_VbrMatrix, Epetra_FECrsMatrix and

Epetra_FEVbrMatrix. A class that is a user of sparse matrices, such as a preconditioner class, does not typically need to know the details of how a sparse matrix is stored. Instead access is needed to matrix entries in a reasonably efficient way. Epetra_RowMatrix provides this kind of read-only capability for any class that inherits its interface. In particular, Epetra_RowMatrix provides a single function that, when called with a specified row index, must fill arrays with values and indices from that row of the matrix. All preconditioners in Trilinos are implemented using this basic concept. Any matrix class that can provide a row of matrix coefficients on demand can easily inherit from the Epetra_RowMatrix interface.

3.8 Epetra_Operator

Epetra_Operator is an even simpler interface than Epetra_RowMatrix. It provides an Apply() function or an ApplyInverse() function, or both, depending on the concrete class that inherits its interface. All Epetra distributed matrix classes inherit from the Epetra_Operator interface because Epetra_RowMatrix inherits from Epetra_Operator. Furthermore, many preconditioners in Trilinos inherit from Epetra_Operator, e.g., the algebraic preconditioners in IFPACK, Heroux and Sala (2004), and the multi-level preconditioners in ML, Tuminaro and Hu (2004). We will revisit Epetra_Operator in Section 4 when we discuss implementing Schur complement operators.

3.9 AztecOO

The Trilinos package AztecOO, Heroux (2004a), contains a class of the same name, Aztec00. This class provides access to a variety of preconditioners and iterative solvers for linear systems of the form Ax = b. In addition Aztec00 provides support for using Epetra objects as matrices, linear operators and vectors to define the linear problem for Aztec00. The arguments x and b can be Epetra_Vector or Epetra_MultiVector objects. The linear operator A can be an Epetra_RowMatrix or simply an Epetra_Operator. Furthermore, Aztec00 accepts a user-built preconditioner as an Epetra_Operator. When Aztec00 needs to apply Ato a vector, it calls the Apply() function. When it needs to apply the preconditioner to a vector it calls the ApplyInverse() function. In this way, Aztec00 supports the use of very complex formulations of A and its preconditioners. In particular, Aztec00 can be used to solve Schur complement systems where A takes the form of $S = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$, as in Section 2.3. S need not be formed, but supplied via the Apply() function of an Epetra_Operator class. Similarly the preconditioner for S can be provided by the ApplyInverse() function of the same Epetra_Operator class.

4 Concrete Solver Implementations

In Section 2 we presented the important features of a solver API and the particular example of Tramonto to illustrate our ideas. In Section 3 we discussed important Epetra classes and how these classes can be used to accept matrix coefficients from the application for insertion into a single global matrix, or separated into several submatrices as would be needed for some kinds of segregated preconditioners. In this section we discuss the complement to the solver API: the concrete solver implementations. The primary purpose of this section is to show how information that is passed to the linear problem manager via the solver API is parsed for use by the solver. Unlike Section 2, where discussion was very application-specific, in this section data and terminology will be very solver-specific, using the classes discussed in Section 3.

4.1 The Schur_Epetra_Operator Class

To drive this discussion, we consider the hard sphere problem presented in Section 2.3. The solution strategy for this problem is to solve the Schur complement system, using GMRES from Aztec00 and taking advantage of the fact that A_{11}^{-1} can be explicitly formed. In order to do this, we first introduce a new class called Schur-Epetra-Operator. This class accepts four arguments to its constructor:

- A11 An Epetra_Operator representing the A_{11} block of the matrix in Equation 1. This Epetra_Operator must provide an implementation of both the Apply() and ApplyInverse() functions. Note that the Apply-Inverse() function will be used most often as part of applying $S = A_{22} A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$. Since A_{11}^{-1} can be explicitly formed, we store A_{11}^{-1} which, as indicated by Equation 3, mean negating the off-diagonal terms. It is also worth noting that the diagonal entries need not be kept since they are known to be ones.
- **A12** An Epetra_CrsMatrix containing the coefficients of the A_{12} block of Equation 1.
- **A21** An Epetra_CrsMatrix containing the coefficients of the A_{21} block of Equation 1.
- A22 An Epetra_Operator representing the A_{22} block of the matrix in Equation 1. This Epetra_Operator must provide an implementation of both the Apply() and ApplyInverse() functions. However, the Apply-Inverse() function need not be exact. It will be used by Schur_Epetra_Operator as part or all of the preconditioner for the Schur complement system. In this particular example, ApplyInverse() will apply the inverse of the diagonal of A_{22} .

Since Schur_Epetra_Operator is an Epetra_Operator, and will be used with AztecOO to solve the Schur complement system, Schur_Epetra_Operator must provide an implementation of both the Apply() and ApplyInverse() functions. The Apply() function must supply the action of $S = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ on an Epetra_Vector or Epetra_MultiVector. The ApplyInverse() function will

be used to supply the preconditioner, so need only be an approximation to S^{-1} . In our case we approximate S^{-1} by first assuming $S \approx A_{22}$ and then using Jacobi (diagonal) scaling of A_{22} . Thus, $S^{-1} \approx (diag(A_{22}))^{-1}$.

4.2 Helpful Utilities

Writing complex linear operators as Schur_Epetra_Operator requires handling many objects. Most of these object must persist outside of the immediate scope in which they were created. facilitate managing creation, but especially deletion of these objects, we use the concept of a *smart pointer*. The Boost C++ Libraries (see Boost.org (2006)) provide such a class. However, the Trilinos package Teuchos, Thornquist et al. (2004), provides a Boost-compatible smart pointer that has a few additional useful features. The Teuchos::RefCountPtr class supports the declaration of a templated-type pointer that manage reference counts and deletion of objects. Of course, languages such as Java and Python automatically handle garbage collection as part of the language, but C++, C and Fortran do not. A Teuchos::RefCountPtr instance can be a simple attribute of a C++ class and constructed with a null pointer, then later assigned a non-trivial pointer. Thus, the line:

```
Teuchos::RefCountPtr<Epetra_CrsMatrix> A11invMatrix_;
```

can appear in the class declaration and the following line:

can appear in the class implementation. In this way, AllinvMatrix_ can persist, be shallow-copied, and be automatically deleted, without concerns for memory leaks or access deleted memory. In addition the -> operator is implemented by Teuchos::RefCountPtr such that expressions like:

```
A11invMatrix_->InsertGlobalValues(row, 1, &value, &col);
```

work the same as they would when working with a raw Epetra_CrsMatrix pointer.

Another useful utility in Teuchos is a set of macros that provide tests for exceptions. In particular, the macro

```
TEST_FOR_EXCEPT(bool arg);
```

is a light-weight means of testing pre and post conditions for functions. For example in the Apply() function of the Schur_Epetra_Operator the following preconditions must be true:

Both of the above utilities were used in the implementation of the Tramonto solver API. In our experience, such utilities are invaluable for producing high-quality software in complex environments.

4.3 Implementing the Hard Sphere Linear Problem Manager

At this point, we finally have all of the necessary elements to discuss the implementation of the HardSphereLinProbMgr class. Although the level of detail presented here should suffice for gaining understanding and using similar techniques for other applications, we omit some specific information for readability. Readers who are interested in obtaining the functioning C++ source code can contact the author directly.

The HardSphereLinProbMgr version of the constructor and destructor listed in Table 1 will be simple and similar to BasicLinProbMgr. HardSphereLinProbMgr will inherit the BasicLinProbMgr implementation of the first 3 block structure setup functions in Table 2. The functions found in Table 8, when called by the application, will provide additional structural information needed to identify which DOFs belong to which blocks in Equation 1. The collection of these Type 2 functions provides sufficient information to form the Epetra_Map objects for the rowMap, domainMap and rangeMap for all four arguments A11, A12, A21 and A22 needed by Schur_Epetra_Operator. The colMap objects will be constructed automatically by Epetra. These Epetra_Maps will be created when the HardSphereLinProbMgr version of finalizeBlockStructure() is called.

HardSphereLinProbMgr reimplements all of the matrix and vector insertion functions in Table 3. Thus, once the Epetra_Map objects are constructed, the insertion functions accept matrix and vector values and corresponding node and physic IDs. Using the MyGID(int GID) function that is a member function of the Epetra_Map class, it is easy to determine where each matrix value should be submitted, either to the insertion functions of the Epetra_Operators A11 or A22, or the insertion functions of the Epetra_CrsMatrix objects A12 or A21. This process will be similar to what was illustrated for the block matrix in Equation 5 in Section 3.6. Once all values are inserted, the application will call finalizeProblemValues() which is also reimplemented by HardSphereLinProbMgr. Calling this function will prompt the following actions:

- 1. The finalizeProblemValues() function on Epetra_Operator object A11 will be called, signaling that off-diagonal elements of A₁₁ in Equation 1 (which are the only values kept, as mentioned above) stored in an Epetra_CrsMatrix are completely entered. Thus, the FillComplete() member function of Epetra_CrsMatrix will be called and the Epetra_Operator A11 completely constructed.
- 2. The finalizeProbleValues() function on Epetra_Operator object A22 will be called, signaling that all entries of A_{22} in Equation 1 are entered. A_{22} is stored in an Epetra_CrsMatrix. In addition the reciprocal of $diag(A_{22})$ is kept for use as part of the preconditioner for S.

- The FillComplete() member function of Epetra_CrsMatrix will be called for both Epetra_CrsMatrix objects A12 and A21.
- 4. The constructor for Schur_Epetra_Operator will be called, passing A11, A12, A21 and A22 in as arguments.

After these steps are complete, we can create an Aztec00 object and solve the Schur complement system using our Schur_Epetra_Operator object to provide both the Apply() and ApplyInverse() functions. HardSphereLinProbMgr reimplements all the linear solver functions in Table 4 to accomplish this.

Finally, the HardSphereLinProbMgr class reimplements all functions in Tables 5, 6 and 7. Although not discussed here, it is possible, since these functions are typically called infrequently and not critical to overall application performance, to carefully implement them in BasicLinProbMgr and reuse the BasicLinProbMgr implementation in HardSphereLinProbMgr by providing an auxiliary permutation function that maps GIDs back and forth between the BasicLinProbMgr ordering and the HardSphereLinProbMgr ordering.

5 Conclusions

Scientific and engineering applications often require simultaneous solution of multiple degrees of freedom. At the same time, an increasing number of sophisticated preconditioners require a "segregated" view of the linear system. In this paper we have described how to develop an application-specific, solver-generic interface to facilitate the design and development of sophisticated solvers for multi-DOF applications. In particular, we described a working solver API for the molecular density functional theories code Tramonto. We then presented important features of Trilinos, especially the Epetra, AztecOO and Teuchos packages that support construction and use of parallel distributed linear algebra objects for efficient segregated solvers, especially Schur complement approaches. Next, we introduced the Schur_Epetra_Operator class that builds on top of the Epetra_Operator class and provides an efficient parallel distributed memory Schur complement solver for hard sphere problems. Finally, we sketched out how the HardSphereLinProbMgr can be implemented using the BasicLinProbMgr interface and the tools in Trilinos.

The general approach discussed here, although specific to Tramonto, should illustrate a potential approach for fully-coupled computational mechanics application, tightly-coupled multi-scale applications and other problem areas where tight interaction exists between disparate degrees of freedom. In particular, this approach opens up the possibility of using the increasingly robust preconditioners from multi-level algorithms research and other low complexity "fast" solvers that, although not appropriate for the fully coupled systems of equations presented by these

problem areas, can be applied in combination to subproblems. Work in this area includes, for example, that of Silvester et al. (1999), Kay et al. (2002) and Elman et al. (2003) in CFD.

We expect that, if application developers adopt solver APIs similar to those mentioned here, advances in solver algorithm can be more easily integrated into an application. Furthermore, solver developers will be more able to identify the needs of applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks the MICS and ASC programs under the Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, E., Bai, Z., Bischof, C., Demmel, J., Dongarra, J., Croz, J. D., Greenbaum, A., Hammarling, S., McKenney, A., Ostrouchov, S., and Sorensen, D. (1995). LAPACK Users' Guide. SIAM Pub., Philadelphia, PA, second edition.
- Balay, S., Gropp, W., McInnes, L., and Smith, B. (1997).
 Efficient management of parallelism in object oriented numerical software libraries. In Arge, E., Brusset,
 A. M., and Langtangen, H. P., editors, Modern Software Tools in Scientific Computing, pages 163–202.
 Birkhauser Press.
- Balay, S., Gropp, W., McInnes, L., and Smith, B. (1998a).
 PETSc 2.0 users manual. Technical Report ANL-95/11
 Revision 2.0.22, Argonne National Laboratory.
- Balay, S., Gropp, W., McInnes, L., and Smith, B. (1998b). PETSc home page. http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc.
- Boost.org (2006). Boost c++ libraries. http://www.boost.org/.
- Dongarra, J. and Eijkhout, V. (2004). Freely available software for linear algebra on the web. http://www.netlib.org/utk/people/JackDongarra/lasw.html.
- Elman, H., Howle, V. E., Shadid, J. N., and Tuminaro, R. S. (2003). A parallel block multi-level preconditioner for the 3d incompressible navier-stokes equations. *Jour*nal of Computational Physics, 187(2):504–523.
- Frink, L. J. (2006). Tramonto home page. http://software.sandia.gov/tramonto.
- Frink, L. J. D. and Salinger, A. G. (2000a). Two- and three-dimensional nonlocal density functional theory for inhomogeneous fluids i. algorithms and parallelization. *jcp*, 159:407–424.

- Frink, L. J. D. and Salinger, A. G. (2000b). Two- and three-dimensional nonlocal density functional theory for inhomogeneous fluids ii. solvated polymers as a benchmark problem. *jcp*, 159:425–439.
- Heroux, M. A. (2004a). Aztecoo home page. http://software.sandia.gov/Trilinos/packages/aztecoo.
- Heroux, M. A. (2004b). Epetra home page. http://software.sandia.gov/Trilinos/packages/epetra.
- Heroux, M. A. (2004c). Trilinos home page. http://software.sandia.gov/trilinos.
- Heroux, M. A. (2005). Epetra Performance Optimization Guide. Technical Report SAND2005-1668, Sandia National Laboratories.
- Heroux, M. A., Frink, L. J., and Salinger, A. G. (2006). A Schur Complement Based Approach to Solving Density Functional Theories for Inhomogeneous Fluids on Parallel Computers. Technical Report SAND2006–2099, Sandia National Laboratories.
- Heroux, M. A. and Sala, M. (2004). Ifpack home page. http://software.sandia.gov/Trilinos/packages/ifpack.
- Kay, D., Loghin, D., and Wathen, A. (2002). A preconditioner for the steady-state navier-stokes equations. SIAM J. Sci. Comput.
- Li, X. and Demmel, J. (2003). SuperLU home page. http://crd.lbl.gov/xiaoye/SuperLU/.
- R. Pozo (2006). Matrix Market. http://math.nist.gov/MatrixMarket/.
- Salinger, A. G., Bou-Rabee, N. M., Pawlowski, R. P., Wilkes, E. D., Burroughs, E. A., Lehoucq, R. B., and Romero, L. A. (2001). LOCA: A Library of Continuation Algorithms - Theroy and Implementation Manual. Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185. SAND 2002-0396.
- Silvester, D., Elman, H., Kay, D., and Wathen, A. (1999). Efficient preconditioning of the linearized Navier-Stokes equations. Technical Report 352, Manchester, England.
- The Mathworks (2006). Matlab documentation homepage. http://www.mathworks.com.
- Thornquist, H. K., Bartlett, R. A., Long, K. R., Heroux, M. A., and Sala, M. (2004). Teuchos home page. http://software.sandia.gov/Trilinos/packages/teuchos.
- Tuminaro, R. S. and Hu, J. (2004). Ml home page. http://www.cs.sandia.gov/tuminaro/ML_Description.html.